

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 VIVOLUDIOLIGO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/033,575	12/28/2001	Charles T. Liamos	12008.42USC1	9713	
759	90 12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
Mara E. Liepa MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. BOX 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			OLSEN, KAJ K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		//	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/033,575	LIAMOS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kaj Olsen	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will app!y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 23-42 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-28 and 30-42</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been red	ceived.	
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	J and/or 121.	
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∧</b> □	(0.70 110) = 0.111	
) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s) 4/2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/033,575

Art Unit: 1753

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification should be amended to indicate that this application is a continuation of 09/434.026, now US Patent 6,616,819 B1.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 40 is an incomplete claim because it is drawn to a method of measuring bioanalyte in a sample, but there is no step or steps drawn to actually measuring bioanalyte. The claims would be definite if the applicant added a step to the claim of --measuring a bioanalyte concentration in a sample--.

#### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 10/033,575

Art Unit: 1753

ţ

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 23, 25, 26, 28, 30-38 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 23 of copending Application No. 10/033,506 in view of either Carter et al (USP 5,628,890) or Winarta et al (USP 6,287,451 B1). Claim 23 of the '506 application discloses the first and second electrical contact structure given in claims 23 and 26 of the instant invention. Claims 23 and 26 of the instant invention differ in that they also disclose the structure of the strip to be utilized with the electrical connector. However the set forth sensor structure of claims 23 and 26 is known in the art. Both Carter and Winarta disclose conventional electrochemical sensor strips that read on the claimed strips (see Carter, fig. 1; see Winarta, fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the sensor strips of either Carter or Winarta for the connector defined by claim 23 of the '506 application because these are standard strip configurations for electrochemical sensors and to use these would allow interfacing with conventional, already available sensor strips. The various other features in the dependent claims are conventional features and within the purview of one possessing ordinary skill in the art. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.
- 7. Claims 24, 27, and 39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 23 of copending

Application/Control Number: 10/033,575

Art Unit: 1753

}

Application No. 10/033,506 in view of Carter and Winarta as set forth above, and in further view of Morris et al (USP 4,714,874). The references set forth all the limitations of these claims, but did not explicitly disclose the use of an insertion strip that extends from the first side edge to the second side edge. Morris discloses that that is a conventional manner of placing the insertion monitor stripe onto the sensor (fig. 3, 4, and col. 4, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the insertion stripe of Morris for the operative combination or kit of claim 23 of '506 in view of Carter or Winarta in order to provide maximum surface area for the stripe to interact with the insertion leads.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 23-28 and 30-39 would be allowed if the double patenting rejection were overcome.
- 9. Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 40-42 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. The prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the limitations of claims 23, 26, and 40 with particular attention to the presence of first and second insertion leads that extend across the first and second side edges respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,575

Art Unit: 1753

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0506. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nam

Nguyen, can be reached at (703) 308-3322.

When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the header "Official" for papers that

are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications

with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of this application. This will expedite processing

of your papers. The fax number for regular communications is (703) 305-3599 and the fax

number form after-final communications is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kaj K. Olsen

Patent Examiner

AU 1753

November 24, 2003

Page 5